

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Case No.: 2:21-cv-01035-JAD-BNW

4 City of Las Vegas,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Malcolm Brooks,

8 Defendant

9 Order Adopting Report & Recommendation
10 and Remanding Case

[ECF No. 3]

11 This municipal-court traffic matter found its way to this court because Malcolm Brooks
12 improperly removed it here, so the magistrate judge recommends that I remand it back to the
13 court it started in.¹ The deadline for any party to object to that recommendation was July 7,
14 2021, and no party filed anything. Indeed, Brooks has since filed a notice of withdrawal of his
15 removal notice, asking that this case be returned to its original venue.² “[N]o review is required
16 of a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation unless objections are filed.”³ Having
17 reviewed the R&R, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.


18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge’s Report and
19 Recommendation [ECF No. 3] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety. **This case is remanded back to**

20
21
22
23
24 ¹ ECF No. 3.

25 ² ECF No. 4.

26 ³ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474
27 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 **the Las Vegas Municipal Court, Case No. 1-0602067**, and the Clerk of Court is directed to
2 CLOSE THIS CASE.

3
4 
5 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
6 Dated: July 12, 2021
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28